



November 18, 2010

Mr. Floyd B. Taylor
FBT Transport
P.O. Box 1471
Vallejo, CA 94590-3180

RE: Supplier Disagreement Resolution Case No. SDR10MT-02
Solicitation Number 980-114-10, HCR 95230

Dear Mr. Taylor:

You lodged a disagreement on July 7, 2010 with respect to the above referenced solicitation and Highway Contract Route (HCR) for mail transportation between the Stockton P&DC and Sonora CA. You contested the Contracting Officer's (CO) award and requested a debriefing regarding your offer to the above referenced solicitation and HCR.

After review of the facts and information, I found the CO's award of the above contract to be in the best interests of the USPS; however, I also found that a debriefing should have been given to FBT Transport. Therefore, on October 28, 2010, I provided you with the CO's rationale as to why your firm was not selected for award and gave you 10 days to respond or provide me with any supplemental information for further consideration. No response was received.

In conclusion, I find that the CO's decision to award Polanco Brothers the contract for the above solicitation was in the best interests of the Postal Service. Based on my determination that you should have been debriefed regarding your proposal for Solicitation Number 980-114-10, HCR 95230, I provided you with the CO's rationale and an opportunity to submit supplemental information for my consideration. Since I have not received such a response, my findings constitute a final decision in accordance with 39 CFR 601.108 (g).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Trent Ensley".

Trent Ensley
USPS Supplier Disagreement Resolution Official

cc: Raymond Luke, Contracting Officer